

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Telu Ram Jain, Sr. Citizen,
H.No. 67, Street No.2,
Modi Mill Colony, Nabha,
Distt. Patiala.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o E.O. Nagar Council,
Nabha.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Regional Deputy Director,
Local Govt. Bodies, Patiala.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2139 of 2020

Present:- Shri Telu Ram Jain,, appellant is absent.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o E.O., Nagar Council, Nabha.

O R D E R

Shri Telu Ram Jain,, appellant filed RTI application dated 09.03.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO-cum-E.O., Nagar Council, Nabha.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are absent today.

The SPIO has sent an e-mail to the Commission, which is a copy of letter no.2265MD dated 14.10.2020 along with a copy of letter no.742 dated 23.03.2020.

I have gone through letter no.2265MD dated 14.10.2020 and it is submitted by the SPIO that letter no.742MD dated 23.03.2020 was written to the appellant and he was asked to deposit the requisite fee with the office of the SPIO, but the appellant has not deposited the assessed fee with the office of the SPIO till today.

I have also gone through letter no.742MD dated 23.02.2020 vide which point-wise reply was sent to the appellant by the SPIO and he was also asked by the SPIO to deposit the amount of Rs.9,970/- as requisite fee with the office of the SPIO.

The appellant filed RTI application on 09.03.2020 and the SPIO wrote letter no.742MD dated 23.03.2020 to the appellant regarding deposit of assessed fee, but the appellant has not deposited the assessed fee with the office of the SPIO till today.

So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed** with the observation that in case the appellant wants to get the information then he should deposit the requisite fee of Rs.9,970/- with the office of the SPIO within 15 days and the SPIO is further directed that in case the appellant deposits the assessed fee, then he should provide the attested copies of sought for information to the appellant within 10 days from the deposit of assessed fee.

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

Dated: 01.12.2020

State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Gurmeet Singh,
S/o Shri Surmukh Singh,
Distt. Pradhan Anit Corruption Form 2072,
Bhai Mastan Singh Nagar,
Sri Muktsar Sahib.

.....Appellant.
Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Distt. Food and Supply Controller,
Sri Muktsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Director, Food and Supply
And Consumer Affairs,
Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2192 of 2020

Present:- Shri Gurmeet Singh, appellant is absent.
Shri Diwan Chand Sharma, SPIO-cum-DFSC, Sri Muktsar Sahib is present.

O R D E R

Shri Gurmeet Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 13.11.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Distt. Food and Supply controller, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, but the SPIO is present and he stated that in compliance to the Order dated 15.10.2020 passed by the Commission, the sought for information comprising of 74 pages was sent to the appellant.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 10.11.2020, diarized vide no.15901, which is a copy of letter no.3576 dated 05.11.2020 along with a copy of letter no.3398 dated 21.10.2020.

I have gone through letter no.3576 dated 05.11.2020 and it is submitted by the SPIO that in compliance to the Order dated 15.10.2020 passed by the Commission, the sought for information comprising of 74 pages was sent to the appellant vide letter no.3398 dated 21.10.2020. I have also gone through letter no.3398 dated 21.10.2020.

As the SPIO has sent the attested copies of sought for information comprising of 74 pages to the appellant vide letter no.3398 dated 21.10.2020, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 01.12.2020

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Tarsem Lal,
S/o Shri Jai Gopal, Cycle Market,
H.No. 5112, Khokhar Wale, Lehragaga,
Distt. Sangrur.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
Health and Family Welfare, PB.,
Sector -34, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director,
Health and Family Welfare, PB.,
Sector -34, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2194 of 2020

Present:- Shri Tarsem Lal, appellant is present.
Smt. Gurmeet Kaur, Legal Assistant is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o
Director, Health and Family Welfare, PB., Chd.

O R D E R

Shri Tarsem Lal, appellant filed RTI application dated 16.06.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Director, Health and Family Welfare, Punjab.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today. The respondent stated that the sought for information has been sent to the appellant vide letter no.3435 dated 27.11.2020 through registered post. She has submitted a copy of the said letter along with attested copies of information to the Commission, which are taken in the file.

On the other hand the appellant stated that he has not yet received the information sent by the SPIO.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 16.06.2019 filed by the appellant and also gone through letter no.3435 dated 27.11.2020 and the copies of information.

The SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and sent the sought for information to the appellant vide letter no.3435 dated 27.11.2020 through registered post. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 01.12.2020

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Darshan Khan, S/o Shri Gurmeh Khan,
R/o Satkar Vihar, Near Focal Point,
Rajpura, Distt. Patiala.

.....Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Controller,
Legal Metrology, Punjab,
Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority:

O/o Principal Secretary,
Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs, Punjab,
Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2790 of 2020

Present:- Shri Darshan Khan, appellant is absent.
Shri Manohar Singh, SPIO-cum-Asstt. Controller, H.Q. is present O/o Legal
Metrology, Punjab is present.

O R D E R

Shri Darshan Khan, appellant filed RTI application dated 12.06.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Controller, Legal Metrology, Punjab, Chandigarh. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO, then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 17.07.2020. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 24.09.2020. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meeting Software**.

The appellant is appellant today, whereas the SPIO is present and he stated that the sought for information has been sent to the appellant vide letter no. 136 dated 31.07.2020 and again vide letter no. 1535 dated 20.08.2020. He further submitted that the appellant was not satisfied with the information related with point no. 6, 7 and 8 of the RTI application and then again the information regarding these points has been sent to the appellant vide letter no. 2058 dated 27.11.2020.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no. 2059 dated 27.11.2020 along with copies of letters and the above said facts have been mentioned by the SPIO.

As the SPIO has sent the sought for information to the appellant vide letter nos. 136 dated 31.07.2020, letter no. 1535 dated 20.08.2020 and again vide letter no. 2058 dated 27.11.2020, so the SPIO has resolved the matter. Hence the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Dated: 01.12.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri George Subh,
S/o Sh. Rustam Masih,
R/o Begowal, Ward No. 12,
Tehsil Bholath, Distt. Kapurthala.

.....Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o SSP (Rural),
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority:

O/o IGP, Border Range,
Amritsar.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2801 of 2020

Present:- Shri George Subh, appellant is present.
ASI Harpal Singh is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o SSP (Rural), Amritsar.

O R D E R

Shri George Subh, appellant filed RTI application dated 20.02.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SSP (Rural), Amritsar. A reply was sent to him by the SPIO vide letter no.125-RTI dated 13.03.2020. Not satisfied with the reply of the SPIO, the appellant filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority. Then the appellant filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 25.09.2020. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meeting Software**.

Both the parties are present today. The respondent stated that the information sought by the appellant through his RTI application is not specific.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 20.02.2020 and the information sought by the appellant is vague and not specific as he has not mentioned the name of any person for whom he is seeking information, rather he is seeking information for the whole of the district from the public authority. If the public authority would be directed to do this, then 75% time of the public authority would be used in preparing the information.

So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed** as the RTI application filed by the appellant is not specific, rather it is vague as he has not mentioned the name of any person for whom he is seeking information. In case the appellant wants to seek the information then he is at liberty to file a fresh RTI application by mentioning the name of specific persons.

Dated: 01.12.2020

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri George Subh,
S/o Sh. Rustam Masih,
R/o Begowal, Ward No. 12,
Tehsil Bholath, Distt. Kapurthala.

.....Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Commandant-cum-Deputy Director,
PPA, Phillaur.

First Appellate Authority:

O/o Director,
Punjab Police Academy,
Phillaur.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2806 of 2020

Present:- Shri George Subh, appellant is present.
DSP Sewa Singh, APIO along with Inspector Gurpreet Singh is present on
behalf of Shri Ravcharan Singh PPS, SPIO-cum-Commandant-cum-Dy. Director,
PPA, Phillaur.

O R D E R

Shri George Subh, appellant filed RTI application dated 29.06.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Commandant-cum-Deputy Director, PPA, Phillaur. A reply was sent to him by the SPIO vide letter no.97 dated 17.07.2020. Not satisfied with the reply of the SPIO, the appellant filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 24.07.2020 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 25.09.2020. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meeting Software**.

Both the parties are present today. The respondent stated that the reply/information was sent to the appellant vide letter no. 97 dated 17.07.2020. He further stated that detailed reply has also sent to the Commission through e-mail.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 29.06.2020 and the information sought by the appellant is vague and not specific as he has not mentioned the name of any person for whom he is seeking information, rather he is seeking information for the whole of the district from the public authority. If the public authority would be directed to do this, then 75% time of the public authority would be used in preparing the information.

So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed** as the RTI application filed by the appellant is not specific, rather it is vague as he has not mentioned the name of any person for whom he is seeking information. In case the appellant wants to seek the information then he is at liberty to file a fresh RTI application by mentioning the name of specific persons.

Dated: 01.12.2020

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.